IJMSSSR
International Journal of Management studies and Social Science Research
A Bi-Monthly, Peer-Reviewed, Open-Access Journal
2026 Vol. 08, Issue 04
ISSN: 2582-0265
www.ijmsssr.org

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Financial Crime and the Erosion of Public Trust in Nigeria's Financial Sector: An Empirical Analysis of Anti-Fraud Cases and Regulatory Responses

Dankano, Edwe (PhD); Bashir Ali Waziri; Vincent Andrew Makeri

Department of Sociology, Taraba State University, Jalingo-Nigeria

ABSTRACT
This study investigates the impact of financial crimes on public trust in Nigeria's financial sector, focusing on high-profile fraud cases and the effectiveness of anti-fraud regulatory mechanisms. Financial crimes such as bank fraud, Ponzi schemes, cyber fraud, and money laundering have increased in scale and sophistication, contributing to declining investor confidence, deposit flight, and reputational damage to financial institutions. Using a mixed-methods approach that includes case studies (e.g., the MMM Ponzi scheme, bank frauds involving top executives), survey data, and analysis of reports from the Economic and Financial Crimes Commission (EFCC) and the Central Bank of Nigeria (CBN), the paper explores the systemic weaknesses that facilitate such crimes. The findings reveal that financial crimes are not only symptoms of regulatory gaps and institutional decay but also drivers of broader economic instability. The study concludes with policy recommendations for improving oversight, strengthening enforcement capacity, and rebuilding public trust in Nigeria's financial system.

Keywords: *Financial crime, public trust, financial sector, institutional failure, Erosion*

ARTICLE INFO

Received:	[28/07/2026]
Accepted:	[05/08/2026]
Published:	[26/08/2026]
Issue Period:	July – August 2026 (Bi-Monthly)
DOI:	https://doi.org/10.56293/IJMSSSR.2026.6325

Introduction

In recent decades, Nigeria's financial sector has witnessed significant episodes of financial crime that have shaken public trust in its institutions. From fraudulent schemes orchestrated by rogue bank executives to large-scale Ponzi operations and cyber-enabled financial scams, the Nigerian financial ecosystem faces both traditional and emerging threats. The ramifications of such criminal activities extend beyond immediate monetary losses; they corrode confidence in banks, deter investment, and undermine the legitimacy of regulatory frameworks meant to safeguard the public's interest. (Folasade,2024)

Public trust is the cornerstone of any modern financial system. In contexts where individuals fear that their deposits are unsafe, or where investors perceive a high risk of fraud and institutional complicity, the financial system struggles to perform its core functions mobilizing savings, allocating capital, and facilitating economic growth. In Nigeria, trust has been repeatedly eroded by high-profile fraud cases, including the collapse of banks due to insider abuses, the proliferation of Ponzi schemes such as the infamous “MMM Nigeria,” and the rise of cyber fraud targeting digital financial platforms. These developments have sparked concerns about the capacity of regulatory bodies such as the Central Bank of Nigeria (CBN), the Economic and Financial Crimes Commission (EFCC), and the Nigerian Deposit Insurance Corporation (NDIC) to act swiftly and effectively. (Umoh 2024)

Although regulatory reforms have been implemented over the years, financial crimes persist, often with impunity. In many cases, enforcement actions are reactive rather than preventive, and criminal prosecutions are slow or inconclusive. This creates a cycle of perceived and real institutional weakness, feeding into public disillusionment and economic disengagement. It is within this context that this paper seeks to empirically examine the relationship between financial crime and the erosion of trust in Nigeria’s financial system.

The objectives of this study are as follows

1. To examine the prevalence and patterns of financial crime in Nigeria financial sector, focusing on various forms of fraud including cybercrime, embezzlement, insider trading, and money laundry.
2. To assess the impact of financial crimes on public trust in financial institutions, including commercial banks, microfinance banks, and other financial service providers.
3. To analyze the role and effectiveness of regulatory bodies and institutional frameworks (such as the Central Bank of Nigeria, EFCC, NDIC, SEC, and ICPC) in combating financial crimes and restoring public confidence.
4. To investigate the relationship between regulatory response and the level of public trust, evaluate how enforcement actions, sanctions, and reforms influence public perception and consumer behavior.
5. To recommend policy and institutional reforms aimed at strengthening transparency, accountability and trust in Nigeria’s financial sector.

2. Literature Review

2.1 Conceptual Framework: Financial Crime and Trust

Financial crime encompasses a broad spectrum of illicit activities committed within or against financial institutions. These include fraud, embezzlement, money laundering, insider trading, Ponzi schemes, and cyber-enabled theft. According to Levi (2002), financial crime is particularly damaging because it not only causes economic loss but also undermines the integrity of financial markets and institutions.

Trust, in economic and sociological terms, refers to the belief that institutions will act predictably, ethically, and competently in managing financial assets. Fukuyama (1995) posits that trust is essential to the smooth functioning of complex financial systems. Without it, individuals and businesses are less willing to deposit funds, invest, or engage in formal financial transactions, instead seeking informal alternatives.

In Nigeria, where formal financial inclusion remains relatively low, the presence of financial crime further discourages participation in the formal banking system, especially among low-income and rural populations (World Bank, 2022).

2.2 Financial Crime in Nigeria: Scope and Trends

Nigeria has experienced various forms of financial crime over the decades. Historical accounts of bank collapses in the 1990s, such as Savannah Bank and Society General, highlighted widespread insider abuse and poor corporate governance (Sanusi, 2010). The consolidation of banks in the mid-2000s under the

CBN's reform agenda temporarily improved risk management but failed to eliminate financial malpractice.

The emergence of Ponzi schemes, particularly "MMM Nigeria" in 2016, brought renewed attention to the vulnerability of the public to fraudulent investment platforms. Estimates suggest that over 3 million Nigerians lost up to ₦18 billion in the MMM collapse (NDIC, 2017). These schemes thrived on public mistrust in traditional financial institutions and regulatory lapses in monitoring informal financial activities.

Cyber fraud has become increasingly prevalent with the rise of digital banking and mobile money platforms. According to the Nigerian Inter-Bank Settlement System (NIBSS), Nigeria recorded over ₦14 billion in fraud losses between 2019 and 2021, with phishing, identity theft, and SIM swaps being the most common methods.

2.3 Regulatory and Institutional Responses

Studies such as those by Obuah(2015) and Akinjobi & Omowumi (2020) document Nigeria's multi-agency approach to combating financial crime led by EFCC, ICPC, and the Nigeria Financial Intelligence Unit (NFIU). however, empirical assessments of these agencies reveal overlapping functions, bureaucratic delays, and low conviction rates, which hinder deterrence and restitution. The CBN'S 2022 Fraud Report also noted weak internal controls losses; they major loophole exploited by fraudsters. (NDIC). While these institutions have played active roles in exposing and prosecuting financial crimes,

Nigeria's anti-financial crime framework is underpinned by several institutions: The Central Bank of Nigeria (CBN), the Economic and Financial Crimes Commission (EFCC), the Nigerian Financial Intelligence Unit (NFIU), and the Nigerian Deposit Insurance Corporation they have been criticized for weak coordination, inadequate enforcement, and delayed judicial processes (Okonjo-Iweala, 2018).

The CBN's issuance of corporate governance guidelines and Know Your Customer (KYC) protocols are notable attempts to curb internal fraud within banks. However, compliance is uneven, and enforcement is often politicized. The EFCC has launched several high-profile investigations and arrests, but conviction rates remain low, and asset recovery is limited.

2.4 Technological Interventions and Limitation

Empirical findings from Sanusi & Olatunji (2022) argue that the deployment of banking technology like BVN, mobile banking authentication, and AI-enabled fraud detection has reduced petty fraud but remains ineffective against insider-enabled systemic fraud.

2.5 Incidence of financial crime in Nigeria

Okoye & gbegi,; Adeyemi, (2013,2017) financial crime particularly bank fraud, cybercrime, insider abuse, and money laundering has become deeply entrenched in Nigeria's financial sector. Data from the Central Bank of Nigeria (CBN) and Economic and Financial Crime Commission (EFCC) indicate that fraud losses in the banking sectors rose to over #10 billion annually in the last five years.

Scale and Magnitude (Statistics & Data)

(a) Monetary Losses

- Nigeria loses about ₦10 trillion annually to employee fraud and occupational abuse.
- Between 2007–2023, over ₦356 billion in financial crimes was linked to politically exposed persons.

- Banking sector losses: ₦52.26 billion lost to fraud in 2024, up from ₦11.6 billion in 2020. This shows rapid growth, especially with digital finance.

(b) Bribery and Corruption Incidence

- About ₦721 billion paid annually in bribes, representing 0.35% of GDP. Indicates that corruption is not isolated it is routine and widespread.

(c) Corporate/Institutional Fraud

- Around 26.67% of financial service firms manipulate financial statements. This Suggests systemic corporate-level fraud, not just individual misconduct.

2.6 Impact on Public Trust

Empirical evidence suggests a direct relationship between increasing financial crime and declining public confidence. According to a 2023 survey by NOI Polls, over 68% of Nigerians expressed distrust in banking institutions, citing fraud and poor regulatory enforcement as major concerns. Similarly, Oladejo (2021) found that repeated incidents of financial fraud discourage banking participation and savings culture, especially in rural areas.

Decline in Customer Confidence

Frequent fraud incidents (ATM fraud, online scams, unauthorized transfers) make customers feel their money is unsafe.

- Fear of sudden loss of funds
- Reduced trust in banks and digital platforms
- Increased complaints and disputes

Reduced Adoption of Digital Banking

Cybercrime discourages the use of modern financial services.

- Hesitation to use mobile banking apps and POS systems
- Slower growth of fintech innovations
- Preference for cash transactions

Increased Reliance on Informal Financial Systems

Due to distrust in formal institutions:

- People turn to savings groups ("ajo" or "esusu")
- Cash hoarding increases
- Financial inclusion efforts are weakened

Reputation Damage to Financial Institutions

Fraud incidents even when caused by customers or third parties damage the image of banks.

- Loss of brand credibility
- Customer migration to perceived "safer" institutions
- Negative public perception of the entire banking sector

Strained Customer–Institution Relationships

Trust is a key pillar of banking relationships, and financial crime weakens it.

- Increased suspicion toward bank staff
- Lower willingness to share financial information
- More disputes over failed or fraudulent transactions

Regulatory Trust Issues

When fraud persists, customers may question the effectiveness of oversight bodies like the Nigeria Deposit Insurance Corporation.

- Doubts about ability to protect deposits
- Perception of weak regulation and supervision
- Calls for stricter enforcement

Higher Cost of Financial Intermediation

Lack of trust leads to indirect economic consequences:

- Banks invest more in fraud prevention systems
- Increased transaction charges passed to customers
- Slower financial system efficiency

2.7 Comparative insights

Comparative studies show that Nigeria lags peer economies like South Africa and Kenya in both anti-fraud capacity and public trust indices. According to Transparency Internationals 2024 Corruption Perception Index. Nigeria scored 24/100, reinforcing the view that poor governance and weak enforcement mechanisms undermine efforts to build trust.

2.8 Theoretical Underpinnings

2.8.1 Institutional Theory

This study is grounded in Institutional Theory and Trust Theory. Institutional theory highlights the role of formal rules and informal norms in shaping behavior within financial systems (North, 2009). Weak or corrupt institutions foster an environment where financial crimes flourish.

In Nigeria's financial sector, in situational weaknesses such as regulatory capture, poor enforcement, and lack of transparency create an enabling environment for financial crime. When institutions fail to enforce accountability, public trust erodes (scott,2008)

Institutional Theory is a framework in social science and organizational studies that explains how structures, rules, norms, and routines become established and shape the behavior of individuals and organizations.

In essence:
Organizations conform to institutional pressures in order to gain legitimacy, stability, and social acceptance.

Core Idea

- Organizations are influenced not only by efficiency and profit motives but also by social, cultural, and regulatory expectations.
- Legitimacy is often more important than efficiency: organizations adopt practices because they are socially accepted or expected, not always because they are the most productive.

Origins and Development

- Rooted in sociology and organizational studies (1970s–1980s).
- Key early contributors:
    1. John Meyer and Brian Rowan (1977) – emphasized ritualized practices in organizations to gain legitimacy.
    2. Paul DiMaggio and Walter Powell (1983) – formalized the concept of institutional isomorphism, explaining why organizations in the same field become similar.

Key Concepts

Concept	**Explanation**
Institutions	Rules, norms, values, and routines that shape organizational behavior.
Legitimacy	Recognition by society, regulators, and stakeholders that an organization's practices are appropriate.
Isomorphism	Tendency of organizations to become similar due to institutional pressures.
Three Types of Institutional Pressure	1. Coercive – Legal or regulatory requirements. 2. Normative – Professional standards, social expectations. 3. Mimetic – Copying successful peers to reduce uncertainty.
Decoupling	Organizations may adopt formal policies to appear legitimate while not changing actual operations.

Applications

- **Organizational Studies** – Explains why companies adopt formal structures, codes of conduct, or sustainability programs even if they are costly.
- **Corporate Governance** – Boards comply with legal and social expectations to gain legitimacy.
- **Public Policy** – Governments, NGOs, and agencies implement policies that align with societal norms and global standards.
- **International Business** – Explains convergence of business practices across countries due to regulatory, normative, or mimetic pressures.

Criticisms

1. **Overemphasis on conformity** – Underplays organizational innovation and agency.
2. **Deterministic** – Suggests organizations are primarily shaped by external pressures.
3. **Difficulty in measuring legitimacy** – Legitimacy is often subjective and socially constructed.

2.8.2 Routine Activity Theory

Originally proposed by Cohen and Felson (2009), Routine Activity Theory posits that crime occurs when a motivated offender, a suitable target, and the absence of a capable guardian converge. In the context of Nigerian banks, motivated fraudsters (internal and external), vulnerable systems (lack of encryption or oversight) and ineffective regulators create fertile regulators create a fertile ground for financial crime. Routine Activity Theory (RAT) is a criminological framework that explains how crime occurs based on the convergence of three elements in everyday life: Crime is likely when a motivated offender, a suitable target, and the absence of a capable guardian converge in time and space.

Developed by Lawrence E. Cohen and Marcus Felson (1979), RAT emphasizes how ordinary routines and daily activities create opportunities for crime rather than focusing solely on the characteristics of criminals.

Core Components

Component	Explanation
Motivated Offender	A person willing to commit a crime. Motivation is assumed and does not require explanation within RAT.
Suitable Target	A person, object, or place that is attractive or vulnerable to crime (e.g., unlocked cars, smartphones, unattended homes).
Absence of a Capable Guardian	No one is present to prevent the crime (e.g., police, neighbors, security systems, or responsible guardians).

Formula: Crime occurs = Motivated offender + Suitable target + Lack of capable guardian

Key Insights

1. **Opportunity drives crime:** Changes in routines and lifestyles can increase or decrease crime.
2. **Not about criminal motivation:** RAT assumes motivation exists and focuses on how daily life structures opportunities.
3. **Focus on prevention:** By increasing guardianship or reducing target suitability, crime can be prevented.

Applications

Crime Prevention

- **Target hardening:** Locks, alarms, surveillance cameras.
- **Natural surveillance:** Neighborhood watch, better street lighting.
- **Guardianship enhancement:** Security personnel, attentive residents, social monitoring.

Cybercrime

- Online RAT adaptations consider:
  - **Offender:** Hacker or scammer
  - **Target:** Account credentials, personal data
  - **Guardian:** Firewalls, antivirus software, monitoring systems

Urban Planning & Policy

- Understanding RAT helps design cities and neighborhoods that reduce opportunities for crime.
- Example: Mixed-use areas with foot traffic can increase guardianship and reduce crime hotspots.

Strengths

- Explains why crime patterns fluctuate with social and technological changes.
- Provides practical crime prevention strategies.
- Highlights the importance of situational factors, not just offender characteristics.

Criticisms

1. Ignores root causes of crime like poverty or social inequality.
2. Assumes motivation is constant, which may oversimplify offender behavior.
3. Limited in explaining violent crimes driven by personal grievances or passion rather than opportunity.

2.9 Gaps in the Literature

While several studies have documented the prevalence of financial crime in Nigeria, few have systematically analyzed its impact on public trust. Most focus either on specific crimes (e.g., cyber fraud) or narrow regulatory challenges. Moreover, the relationship between public trust, financial inclusion, and regulatory effectiveness remains underexplored in the Nigerian context. This study seeks to bridge this gap by integrating empirical case analysis with policy evaluation.

Both empirical and theoretical perspectives affirm that financial crimes in Nigeria are not isolated incidents but reflect deeper institutional dysfunctions. Trust, once eroded, is difficult to restore without systemic reforms, credible enforcement, and public accountability. Therefore, addressing these issues requires a holistic approach combining technology, law enforcement, regulatory Transparency and public engagement.

3. Methodology

3.1 Research Design

This study adopts a mixed-methods research design, combining qualitative case study analysis with descriptive statistical data to investigate the impact of financial crime on public trust in Nigeria's financial sector. This approach allows for both depth and breadth of understanding, capturing the nuances of institutional failures while providing empirical evidence of trends in financial fraud and trust metrics.

3.2 Data Sources

Secondary Sources

Unwana Unoh, Ugochukwu ofurum & Soyingbe Folasade (2024) demonstrate that persistent bank fraud in Nigeria driven by insider collusion, inadequate internal controls and escalating cyber threats has precipitated a systemic crisis in the financial sector, corroding public trust, destabilizing the economy, and undermining confidence in regulatory institutions.

- EFCC Annual Reports (2010–2023)
- CBN Financial Stability Reports (2015–2023)
- NDIC Reports on Failed Banks and Fraud Trends
- Nigerian Inter-Bank Settlement System (NIBSS) Fraud Reports
- Academic literature and media investigations
- Case law and judgments involving financial crime prosecutions

These sources provide data on the number, type, and value of reported financial crimes, as well as enforcement actions and institutional responses.

3.3 Case Study Selection Criteria

To ensure relevance and representativeness, three major case studies were selected:

The MMM Nigeria Ponzi Scheme (2016–2017): Selected for its national scale and implications for informal finance and regulatory neglect.

MMM was a peer-to-peer financial scheme that originated in Russia (by Sergey Mavrodi) and later expanded globally. In Nigeria, MMM launched in 2015–2016 as a "mutual aid" platform promising unusually high returns (30% per month) to participants who "gave help" (money) to other members. It operated like a Ponzi scheme: new deposits were used to pay earlier participants, rather than generating real investment returns.

How it Worked

1. Members joined by registering online.

2. Each participant would transfer money to another member.
3. After sending money, they would wait to receive "help" from a newer member.
4. Monthly promised returns were extremely high (≈30%), which is unsustainable.
5. The platform used social proof (testimonials, WhatsApp groups) to encourage more people to join.

Scale in Nigeria

- At its peak in 2016–2017, millions of Nigerians had joined MMM.
- Estimates suggest over 5 million participants in Nigeria alone.
- It was particularly popular among low to middle-income earners seeking quick financial relief during tough economic periods.

Collapse and Losses

- MMM collapsed in late 2016 – early 2017 when the flow of new participants slowed.
- Participants lost hundreds of billions of naira, as the system depended entirely on new money to pay old members.
- Reports indicated some people lost their life savings, causing widespread public outrage.

Regulatory Response

- The Central Bank of Nigeria (CBN) and Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) issued warnings:
    - MMM was illegal in Nigeria, operating without a license.
    - CBN warned citizens against participating in schemes promising "unrealistic returns."
- Despite warnings, MMM continued to operate for months, exploiting lack of financial literacy and trust in peer networks.

Key Lessons

1. High promised returns = red flag. Anything like 20–30% monthly is unsustainable.
2. Ponzi schemes require constant new money, so eventual collapse is guaranteed.
3. Financial literacy matters: awareness campaigns could have reduced participation.
4. Regulation must be proactive, especially in digital and peer-to-peer financial platforms.

Aftermath

- MMM's collapse in Nigeria left a lasting mistrust of similar online investment platforms.
- It prompted the CBN and SEC to strengthen financial education campaigns and monitor online investment schemes more closely.

Bank Fraud and Executive Malfeasance (2009–2012): Focused on CEOs of defunct banks (e.g., Oceanic Bank and Intercontinental Bank), highlighting insider abuse and regulatory gaps.

Between 2009 and 2012, Nigeria experienced a severe banking crisis, largely due to fraud, mismanagement, and poor corporate governance in the banking sector. The Central Bank of Nigeria (CBN) identified systemic weaknesses in several major banks.

Key Factors

1. Excessive Risk-Taking – Banks engaged in aggressive lending, often to connected parties without proper collateral.
2. Poor Corporate Governance – Executives had unchecked authority; boards failed to supervise management.
3. Weak Regulatory Oversight – Before reforms in 2009, risk management and compliance were inadequate, allowing fraudulent practices to flourish.

4. Economic Shock – The global financial crisis of 2008–2009 exposed Nigerian banks' vulnerabilities.

Major Cases of Executive Malfeasance

Some of the largest Nigerian banks involved:

Bank	**Executive Issue**	**Outcome**
Intercontinental Bank	Massive insider loans to directors and related parties	Taken over by Bank PHB and later merged into Keystone Bank
Union Bank	Non-performing loans and falsified accounts	Restructured with CBN intervention
Oceanic Bank	Credit irregularities and falsified statements	Assets and liabilities acquired by Ecobank Nigeria
Afribank	Mismanagement and insider abuses	Liquidated; parts merged into Mainstreet Bank
Union Bank / FinBank	Connected lending, weak controls	Management replaced under CBN special audits

- Estimates indicate N700 billion–N1 trillion in non-performing loans (NPLs) due to fraud and insider abuse.

Regulatory Actions

The CBN and Nigeria Deposit Insurance Corporation (NDIC) intervened aggressively:

1. Audits and Investigations (2009–2010) – Comprehensive audits revealed large-scale fraud, hidden losses, and capital inadequacy.
2. Replacement of Bank Executives – CEOs and directors in top-tier banks were sacked or replaced.
3. Capital Injection – The CBN injected N620 billion to recapitalize distressed banks.
4. Mergers & Liquidations – Several banks were merged or liquidated to stabilize the financial system.
5. Legal Action – Some executives were prosecuted for embezzlement, fraud, and mismanagement, though enforcement was uneven.

Impact

- Public Confidence – Widespread panic led to fears of bank runs, though most deposits were eventually protected.
- Banking Reform – Triggered the 2010 CBN governance reforms, including:
  - Stricter corporate governance codes
  - Enhanced risk management and internal controls
  - Mandatory audit and compliance reporting

Long-Term Lessons:

1. Insider lending must be limited and transparent.
2. Board oversight is critical for risk management.
3. Regulatory authorities must have power and independence to enforce sanctions.

Cybercrime and Digital Platform Fraud (2019–2023): Includes mobile banking fraud, fintech platform vulnerabilities, and SIM-swap identity theft.
Each case is analyzed based on:

- Nature of the fraud
- Regulatory response

Impact on public trust (e.g., customer withdrawals, media discourse, financial disengagement)

Rising Trends & Financial Impact (2019–2023)

Escalating Losses from Digital Fraud

- Between 2019 and 2023, Nigerians lost an estimated ₦59.3 billion to fraud across financial platforms, with losses rising sharply from about ₦2.96 billion in 2019 to ₦17.7 billion in 2023 as digital payments surged.
- This reflects a ~497 % increase in fraud losses over five years.
- In 2023 alone, customers reported ~95,620 fraud cases the majority linked to electronic and mobile channels.
- Over the five-year period, there were over 467,000 reported fraud cases tied to digital financial systems.

Broader Cybercrime Losses

A separate telecom-sector estimate suggests Nigerians lost about ₦12.5 billion to digital fraud across mobile and online scams from 2019 to early 2023.

Additionally, factoring in cybercrime outside banking (social media hacking, identity misuse, etc.) agencies like the National Information Technology Development Agency (NITDA) estimate annual cybercrime losses at roughly ₦250 billion (≈ $500 million) around 2025, driven by phishing, SIM-swap and weak credentials.

Common Types of Digital Fraud (2019–2023)

Financial Services & Digital Payments

Mobile banking fraud: mobile channels were the most exploited, with rapid growth alongside mobile money use.

Internet banking and POS fraud: internet banking losses jumped significantly between 2022 and 2023.

Unregulated virtual asset platforms: regulators flagged numerous fraud cases tied to crypto and digital asset investment schemes.
Social engineering: deception tactics (e.g., phishing, fake alerts, deceptive SMS) were dominant methods to trick users into revealing credentials or OTPs.

Phishing & Identity Compromise

- Fraudsters deployed convincing phishing campaigns impersonating major banks to steal login details and drain accounts.
- Techniques like SIM-swap fraud allowed criminals to take control of victims' phone numbers to bypass two-factor authentication.
-

Internal & Platform Vulnerabilities

- Some research and reports highlighted insider collusion, poorly secured APIs, and cloud infrastructure weaknesses on fintech platforms that amplified exposure to cyber threats.

Underlying Drivers & Vulnerabilities

- **Rapid digital adoption:** Explosive growth in electronic transactions without commensurate security strengthened the fraud surface.
- **Financial and digital literacy gaps:** Limited understanding of online risks left many individuals and small businesses highly vulnerable.
- **Weak cyber security capacity:** Nigeria faces significant shortages in cybersecurity expertise and preparedness.
- **Socio-economic pressures:** High unemployment and economic hardship have been linked to increased engagement in or susceptibility to digital scams.

Regulatory & Enforcement Responses

Government Action

- New laws like the Cybercrime (Prohibition, Prevention, etc.) Act 2024 increased penalties for certain online frauds, including identity theft and phishing, although enforcement has been inconsistent.
- Agencies like the EFCC have executed major raids and crackdowns on syndicates involved in romance and crypto investment scams, including arrests and deportations of foreign cyber criminals linked to large networks.
- Regulatory warnings were issued to banks and the public about unlicensed digital lenders and fraudulent loan/CBN grant messages.

Awareness & Capacity Building

- Stakeholders (CBN, NITDA, NCC, SEC, EFCC) increased fraud education campaigns, industry reporting portals, and collaborations with private sector cyber security efforts.

Impact on Public Trust & Digital Growth

- Widespread cybercrime has eroded confidence in online financial services, slowing adoption for some demographics (especially older customers).
- Reputational damage to the fintech ecosystem has made some consumers more cautious about account registration and digital engagement.
- Persistent scams have prompted calls for stronger data protection enforcement and improved consumer safeguards.

3.4 Analytical Framework

Qualitative Analysis

Content analysis of media reports, EFCC press releases, and public statements by regulatory agencies
Thematic coding of case studies to identify common patterns: regulatory failure, public distrust, systemic corruption

Quantitative Analysis

Descriptive statistics on:
Volume and type of financial crimes reported
Estimated financial losses
Prosecution and conviction rates
Trust proxies (e.g., financial inclusion rates, bank withdrawal trends, survey data were available)

3.5 Limitations

Data availability: Nigeria lacks centralized, consistently updated public data on all financial crimes.

Measurement of trust: While proxies such as banking penetration or customer sentiment can be used, direct survey data on trust is limited.

Causal inference: Establishing a direct causal relationship between financial crime and erosion of trust is complex and may be confounded by other socioeconomic factors.
Despite these limitations, triangulating multiple data sources offers credible insights into the problem.

4. Case Studies and Data Analysis

4.1 Overview of Financial Crime Trends in Nigeria (2015–2023)

Analysis of data from EFCC, CBN, and NIBSS reveals a consistent upward trend in financial crimes in Nigeria over the last decade, with spikes during periods of economic uncertainty (e.g., post-recession years 2016–2017, and COVID-19 period in 2020–2021).

Table 1: Selected Financial Crime Statistics in Nigeria (2015–2023)

No of Years	Financial Crime Cases	Estmated Reported Loss (₦ Billion)	Conviction Secured	Major Crime Types
2015	2,042	₦12.6	185	Bank fraud, embezzlement
2017	3,212	₦18.4	221	Ponzi schemes, cyber fraud
2019	4,008	₦23.1	312	Digital payment fraud
2021	5,614	₦29.8	421	Identity theft, mobile fraud
2023	4,761 (est.)	₦27.2 (est.)	400 (est.)	Online scams, fintech fraud

Sources: EFCC Reports, NDIC Fraud Survey Reports, NIBSS Annual Fraud Landscape (2015–2023)

4.2 Case Study 1: MMM Nigeria Ponzi Scheme (2016)

Nature of Crime: Fraudulent investment scheme promising 30% monthly returns
Participants: Estimated 3 million Nigerians
Losses: Over ₦18 billion lost when the scheme collapsed
Regulatory Response: The CBN and SEC issued public warnings late; no prosecution of local coordinators occurred
Trust Impact: Surge in cash-based savings; decrease in microfinance trust; financial inclusion stagnated in rural areas in 2017
Analysis:
The MMM case highlights both public vulnerability and regulatory inertia. It eroded trust especially in rural and lower-income groups, who saw financial institutions as either ineffective or complicit.

4.3 Case Study 2: Executive Bank Fraud Oceanic Bank & Intercontinental Bank (2009–2012)
Nature of Crime: CEOs falsified accounts, issued bad loans, and misappropriated funds
Losses: Over ₦200 billion combined
Regulatory Response: Sanusi Lamido Sanusi (CBN Governor) dismissed executives, and EFCC filed criminal charges

Judicial Outcome: Delayed prosecutions; plea bargains reached; no full restitution
Trust Impact: Major decline in depositors' confidence; increase in non-interest financial products and informal lending

Analysis:

Though seen as a "watershed" moment for bank regulation, delayed justice and lack of full asset recovery weakened public belief in reform credibility.

4.4 Case Study 3: Digital and Fintech Fraud (2019–2023)

Nature of Crime: Phishing, identity theft, SIM swap fraud, unauthorized withdrawals from digital wallets

Victims: Mostly mobile banking users and fintech app users (e.g., OPay, PalmPay)

Losses: ₦14 billion between 2019 and 2021 (NIBSS)

Regulatory Response: CBN issued new KYC rules; NCC collaborated with banks to curb SIM swaps

Trust Impact: Drop in trust in digital finance, especially among older and low-tech users; increased fintech skepticism

Analysis:

While fintech inclusion expanded access, weak cyber security controls and low consumer literacy have made digital platforms highly vulnerable. This undermines the long-term viability of Nigeria's cashless policy.

4.5 Public Trust Indicators and Financial Sector Confidence

Although direct trust metrics are limited, the following proxies offer insight:

A. Bank Deposit Growth Rate (CBN Annual Reports) 2025 Developments (Year-on-Year Growth)

Banks' deposit growth with the CBN (mostly via the Standing Deposit Facility):

- First 10 months of 2025: deposits grew to ₦198.08 trillion, a ~395.8% year-on-year increase from ₦39.95 trillion in the same period in 2024.
- First 9 months of 2025: deposits rose to ₦146.13 trillion, a ~568.7% increase vs the same period in 2024.
- First half (H1) 2025: deposits were about ₦68.9 trillion, representing a ~907.3% YoY surge compared with ₦6.84 trillion in H1 2024.
- First 7 months of 2025: deposits hit ₦79.8 trillion, up ~783.7% YoY vs the similar period in 2024.

These figures reflect massive increases in banks' deposits with the CBN (largely through the Standing Deposit Facility) due to excess liquidity in the Nigerian banking system. This is not exactly "total public deposit growth" but is a proxy for overall deposit accumulation and liquidity growth within banks that choose to park funds with the apex bank for safety/returns instead of lending.

2026 to Early 2026 Trends

- March 2026: banks' deposits with the CBN reached an all-time high of ₦128.9 trillion.

- In March 2026 alone, banks lodged ~₦30.64 trillion over five days, highlighting continued strong deposit deposits with CBN, emphasizing ongoing deposit growth and liquidity hoarding.

B. **Financial Inclusion Rate**

Current Financial Inclusion Rate (2025)
~74 % of adult Nigerians were financially included (had access to formal financial services) as of June 2025 according to the Central Bank of Nigeria's Financial Stability Report. This means about 3 in 4 adults have some formal financial access (e.g., bank accounts, mobile money, etc.).

Progress Over Time
Inclusion has improved over the last decade: from about 64 % in 2020 to ~74 % by mid-2025.
Much of the growth reflects increased access through digital channels and agent banking.

Targets vs Reality
Nigeria's National Financial Inclusion Strategy (NFIS) had a target of 80 % by 2020 and 95 % by 2024, but the actual rate remains below those goals.

Historical Context
Earlier data (EFInA surveys) show:
End of 2020: ~64 % of adults financially included.
Traditional "banked" (formal bank account) use was much lower than overall inclusion because inclusion also counts mobile money and other regulated services.

4.6 Key Analytical Themes
From the case studies and quantitative data, the following patterns emerge:

Weak enforcement capacity (low conviction rates, delayed prosecutions) Weak enforcement capacity is a major factor enabling the persistence of financial crime in Nigeria. It limits the ability of institutions to detect, investigate, prosecute, and prevent financial offenses effectively.
Regulatory fragmentation (poor coordination between EFCC, CBN, NFIU) Regulatory fragmentation refers to the overlap, gaps, and lack of coordination among multiple agencies responsible for combating financial crime. In Nigeria, this significantly weakens enforcement and oversight.

Public disillusionment (financial disengagement, increased reliance on informal financial services) Public disillusionment refers to a loss of faith, hope, and confidence in institutions due to persistent financial crime and weak accountability mechanisms.

Digital risk exposure (poor cyber security readiness across financial tech platforms) Digital risk exposure refers to the vulnerability of individuals, financial institutions, and systems to financial crime due to increased use of digital technologies. In Nigeria, rapid growth in digital finance has significantly expanded these risks.

5. Policy and Institutional Implications

5.1 Weaknesses in the Current Regulatory Framework

5.1.1 Fragmented Oversight and Role Overlap

Nigeria's financial regulatory architecture is composed of multiple agencies the Central Bank of Nigeria (CBN), the Economic and Financial Crimes Commission (EFCC), the Nigerian Deposit Insurance Corporation (NDIC), and the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC). Each body operates under a distinct mandate, which often results in jurisdictional overlap, limited information-sharing, and delayed coordinated action against financial crime. For instance, while the CBN monitors internal bank fraud, prosecutorial responsibility lies with the EFCC, creating bureaucratic bottlenecks.

Policy implication: There is an urgent need for a centralized inter-agency task force or a Financial Crimes Coordination Council to enhance synergy and data integration between agencies.

5.2 Enforcement Capacity and Judicial Challenges

5.2.1 Low Conviction and Asset Recovery Rates

Despite several high-profile arrests, conviction rates remain low, and restitution is rare. EFCC annual reports indicate that while the number of fraud-related arrests has increased, over 70% of cases are still pending trial due to:
-Delays in Nigeria's criminal justice system
-Political interference
-Plea bargaining without public transparency
These inefficiencies create a culture of impunity, where perpetrators believe they can commit financial crimes with minimal consequences.

Policy implication: There is a need to establish Special Financial Crimes Tribunals with fast-track procedures and trained judges to accelerate case resolution.

5.3 Digital Financial Vulnerabilities

5.3.1 Cyber security Gaps in Fintech and Mobile Banking

Fintech growth in Nigeria though commendable for promoting financial inclusion has outpaced the regulatory capacity to safeguard digital infrastructure. Fraudsters exploit gaps in:
-SIM card registration and control (facilitating SIM swap fraud)
-Weak customer authentication methods
-Poor fraud monitoring systems
CBN's 2021 Risk-Based Cyber security Framework remains only partially implemented, and compliance levels among fintech operators vary.

Policy implication: Introduce mandatory cyber security audits and tiered compliance requirements based on operator risk profile. The CBN and NCC must collaborate to tighten telecom-fintech security loopholes.

5.4 Public Awareness and Financial Literacy Deficits

5.4.1 Poor Consumer Awareness of Fraud Risks

Case studies, particularly MMM and digital frauds, reveal that many Nigerians fall victim due to a lack of awareness about financial risks, over-reliance on social media, and low digital literacy. Surveys by EFInA show that only 32% of mobile banking users fully understand fraud risks.

Policy implication: Implement nationwide financial education campaigns through radio, vernacular TV, and community institutions, targeting especially rural and low-income populations.

5.5 Institutional Accountability and Corporate Governance

5.5.1 Bank-Level Fraud and Executive Immunity

The 2009 banking crisis demonstrated how insider abuse and poor corporate governance can destabilize the financial system. Despite regulatory reforms (e.g., CBN's Code of Corporate Governance for Banks), enforcement remains weak, especially concerning politically exposed persons (PEPs) and high-ranking executives.

Policy implication: Mandate independent external audits of banks, with real consequences for non-disclosure. Publish annual "Financial Integrity Compliance Scorecard" ranking institutions.

5.6 Rebuilding Trust in the Financial System

To reverse the trust erosion caused by financial crime, both symbolic and systemic actions are required:

Transparent enforcement of fraud cases (naming convicted individuals and recovered assets) Transparent enforcement refers to the open, consistent, and accountable handling of fraud investigations and prosecutions, ensuring that justice is visible, fair, and credible to the public.

Protection for whistle blowers in financial institutions under the Whistle blower Protection Act. Nigeria's whistle blower protection regime driven largely by policy initiatives of the Federal Ministry of Finance Nigeria and supported by enforcement bodies like the Economic and Financial Crimes Commission aims to encourage reporting of financial misconduct while safeguarding informants.

> *Note:* Nigeria operates primarily through a Whistle blower Policy (2016) rather than a fully comprehensive standalone "Whistle blower Protection Act," though legal protections exist across various laws and regulations.

Restitution funds for verified victims of large-scale financial crime (similar to NDIC liquidation recoveries) Restitution funds refer to financial resources set aside or recovered to compensate victims of fraud, corruption, and other large-scale financial crimes. In Nigeria, restitution is increasingly recognized as an important part of justice, alongside prosecution.
Policy implication: Trust is not restored by rhetoric, but by visible enforcement, consistent justice, and institutional transparency.

5.7 Comparative Lessons from Other Jurisdictions

Countries like Kenya and South Africa have taken steps to strengthen financial oversight:

Kenya's Financial Reporting Centre (FRC) provides near real-time monitoring of suspicious transactions

South Africa's Financial Sector Conduct Authority (FSCA) mandates disclosure of cyber breaches within 72 hours

Nigeria can adapt these models to local realities with the right legislative and institutional backing

Summary Table: Policy Recommendations

ISSUES	RECOMMENDATION	RESPONSIBLE INSTITUTION
Regulatory overlap	Establish Financial Coordination Council	Presidency / National Assembly
Weak enforcement	Set up Special Financial Crimes Tribunals	Ministry of Justice / Judiciary
Digital fraud	Mandatory cybersecurity audits	CBN / NCC

Public ignorance	Launch national financial literacy campaign	CBN / MoE / NGOs
Insider abuse	Publish financial compliance scorecard	CBN / SEC
Rebuilding trust	Protect whistleblowers; enable restitution	EFCC / MoF / NDIC

Conclusion

This study has examined the rising incidence of financial crimes in Nigeria and its detrimental effects on public trust in the formal financial system. Through case study analysis of the MMM Ponzi scheme, executive-level bank fraud, and recent fintech-based frauds, it is clear that financial crime in Nigeria is not only a criminal issue but also a major impediment to economic confidence and institutional legitimacy.

The findings demonstrate that regulatory inefficiency, judicial bottlenecks, poor digital infrastructure, and low public financial literacy are key drivers of trust erosion. Furthermore, the lack of visible, consistent enforcement has created a perception of systemic impunity, weakening citizens' willingness to engage with formal financial channels.

To rebuild public trust and fortify Nigeria's financial system, a comprehensive reform agenda is required. This includes coordinated institutional oversight, stronger enforcement mechanisms, cyber security modernization, consumer protection measures, and targeted public awareness campaigns. Nigeria's regulatory bodies must not only act decisively but must also be seen to do so transparently and consistently. Ultimately, the battle against financial crime is inseparable from the quest to restore confidence in governance and economic justice. Without addressing the root causes of financial misconduct and reinforcing accountability, public trust and with it, financial inclusion will remain elusive

References

1. Afrobarometer. (2021). Public Attitudes Toward Corruption and Trust in Institutions in Nigeria. Retrieved from https://afrobarometer.org
2. Central Bank of Nigeria (CBN). (2015–2023). Annual Financial Stability Reports. Abuja: CBN.
3. Economic and Financial Crimes Commission (EFCC). (2015–2023). Annual Reports. Abuja: EFCC.
4. EFInA. (2020). Access to Financial Services in Nigeria Survey. Enhancing Financial Innovation and Access. https://efina.org.ng
5. Fukuyama, F. (1995). Trust: The Social Virtues and the Creation of Prosperity. Free Press.
6. Gambetta, D. (1988). Trust: Making and Breaking Cooperative Relations. Blackwell.
7. Levi, M. (2002). The Organization of Serious Crimes for Gain. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
8. NDIC. (2017). Annual Report on Failed Banks and Fraud. Nigeria Deposit Insurance Corporation.
9. Nigerian Inter-Bank Settlement System (NIBSS). (2021). Annual Fraud Landscape Report. Lagos: NIBSS.
10. North, D. C. (1990). Institutions, Institutional Change and Economic Performance. Cambridge University Press.
11. Okonjo-Iweala, N. (2018). Fighting Corruption Is Dangerous: The Story Behind the Headlines. MIT Press.
12. Sanusi, L. S. (2010). The Nigerian Banking Industry: What Went Wrong and the Way Forward. Convocation Lecture at Bayero University, Kano.
13. World Bank. (2022). Nigeria Economic Update: The Continuing Urgency of Business Unusual. Washington, DC: World Bank.